To: Keigwin, Richard[Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov]

Cc: Han, Kaythi[Han.Kaythi@epa.gov]; Dawson, Jeffrey[Dawson.Jeff@epa.gov]; Lowit,

Anna[Lowit.Anna@epa.gov] **From:** Dinkins, Darlene

Sent: Thur 6/8/2017 4:13:47 PM Subject: FW: NIEHS Report

Embargoed Draft CEHC Impact Report May 2017 no cover OPP edits.docx

Rick,

Attached is the NIEHS report with the comment added to flag various points, "OPP is reviewing this language and may have comments or edits." Kaythi also received feedback from Nancy Fitz on WPS and Tom Myers on AZM (incorporated citations and links). In Jeff's email below, he listed several overarching issues to highlight. Do you have any thoughts on these points. Also, do you want to raise these points with Nancy first or do you want Kaythi to move forward with sending the attached document and include Jeff's points in an email response to Nica at ORD/NCER?

Darlene Dinkins

Office of Pesticide Programs

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

(703) 305-5214

From: Han, Kaythi

Sent: Wednesday, June 07, 2017 6:19 PM **To:** Dawson, Jeffrey < Dawson.Jeff@epa.gov>

Cc: Keigwin, Richard < Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov >; Lowit, Anna < Lowit.Anna@epa.gov >; Dinkins, Darlene < Dinkins.Darlene@epa.gov >; Overstreet, Anne < overstreet.anne@epa.gov >;

Overbey, Dian < Overbey. Dian @epa.gov>

Subject: RE: NIEHS Report

Thanks, Jeff.

Could others let me know if there are other general points we want to raise to Nica right now?

I've attached the latest version of the report, which includes updated information on WPS and AZM. If there are more edits we would like to send over this round, please let me know ASAP.

Kaythi

From: Dawson, Jeffrey

Sent: Wednesday, June 07, 2017 4:22 PM **To:** Han, Kaythi Han.Kaythi@epa.gov

Cc: Keigwin, Richard < Keigwin.Richard@epa.gov >; Lowit, Anna < Lowit.Anna@epa.gov >; Dinkins, Darlene < Dinkins.Darlene@epa.gov >; Overstreet, Anne < overstreet.anne@epa.gov >;

Overbey, Dian < Overbey. Dian@epa.gov >

Subject: Re: NIEHS Report

Hi all,

I have no problem with that approach. But, Maybe it is worth noting a few overarching issues as well.

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Thoughts? Please edit

Jeff

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 7, 2017, at 3:22 PM, Han, Kaythi < Han. Kaythi@epa.gov > wrote:

Hi All,

I spoke to Nica Louie in ORD's NCER and explained why we need more time to review. However, she said that she needs something from us by the end of today in order to move the report forward to the Children's Health Protection Advisory Committee for their review by the end of the week.

To compromise, we came up with highlighting the sections we have concerns about with a comment along the lines "Language is under review by OPP because of [X,Y, Z]" so that CHPAC will be aware that those sections may have edits. This will give us a few more days to provide comments that would go into the next version of the report.

Does this compromise sound good to you? Please let me know what's possible to turn something over by COB today.

Thanks,

Kaythi

----Original Appointment----

From: Keigwin, Richard

Sent: Tuesday, June 06, 2017 4:54 PM

To: Keigwin, Richard; Lowit, Anna; Dawson, Jeffrey; Han, Kaythi; Dinkins, Darlene

Subject: NIEHS Report

When: Wednesday, June 07, 2017 11:00 AM-11:15 AM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US &

Canada).

Where: PYS-12622

Discussion about NIEHS Report on children's environmental health.

<Embargoed Draft CEHC Impact Report_May 2017_no cover_kh edits.docx>